UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,048	03/10/2004	Hideki Kamada	249171US0	2720
		12/11/2008 LLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. EXAMINER STEELE, JENNII		√ER
1940 DUKE ST	REET			STEELE, JENNIFER A
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	10/796,048	KAMADA ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENNIFER STEELE	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>JENNIFER STEELE</u> .	(3)Kirsten Grueneberg.		
(2) <u>Elizabeth Cole</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>04 December 2008</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	.]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: Claims 23 and 24.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Toray</i> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed the claimed invention would not be present in the invention of evidence from Applicant's specification that clearly shows to polyvinyl acetate and therefore supports Applicants argumentallowability. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.</u>	claim 23 and 24 and that the p Foray as Toray is drawn to a c hat Comparative Example 3 is ents and claims. No agreemen ments which the examiner ago opy of the amendments that w	roperties of the topolymer. Discusting made from a continuous reached and reed would render	Fiber of the ussed the opolymer with as to
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTEL A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTEL requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Elizabeth M. Cole/			
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

Application No.

Applicant(s)